

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES



PhD Dissertation 2018

Corporate Zones of Cyber Security

Kristoffer Kjærgaard Christensen

CORPORATE ZONES OF CYBER SECURITY

PhD Dissertation

Kristoffer Kjærgaard Christensen

Supervisor: Karen Lund Petersen

Submitted on 21 December 2017

PhD Dissertation 2018 © Kristoffer Kjærgaard Christensen

ISBN 978-87-7209-115-0 (Printed book)

ISBN 978-87-7209-140-2 (E-book)

Printed by SL grafik, Frederiksberg, Denmark (slgrafik.dk)

Til Maj

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	7
A NOTE ON ALREADY PUBLISHED AND CO-AUTHORED WORK.....	9
1 INTRODUCTION.....	11
Exploring Corporate Spaces of Cyber-Security Governance... ..	14
...and How to Do It	16
Outline of the Dissertation	19
2 SPACES OF CYBER-SECURITY GOVERNANCE: BETWEEN CONTINUITY AND CHANGE.....	23
New Spaces of (Security) Governance	25
<i>Security Governance in Cyberspace(s)</i>	26
<i>Security Governance beyond the State</i>	28
Cyber-Security Governance as National Security Governance	29
<i>Cyber Security as Cyber War</i>	30
<i>Cyber Security as National Security Discourse</i>	32
Conclusion	35
3 CONTROVERSIES AS ONTOLOGICAL POLITICS: TOWARDS A TOPOLOGICAL SENSIBILITY TO CYBER-SECURITY GOVERNANCE	39
Controversies as Ontological Politics.....	41
<i>Political Situations of Cyber-Security Governance</i>	45
A Topological Sensibility to Security Governance: A Few Rules of Thumb.....	46
<i>Zones of Cyber-Security Governance</i>	49
Conclusion	50
4 ANALYTICAL STORYTELLING: TOWARDS AN ANALYTICAL STRATEGY FOR TOPOLOGICAL SENSE-MAKING.....	53
Storytelling as Topological Sense-Making	55
<i>A Note on Abductive Ways of Knowing</i>	56
Guiding Distinctions: National/Global, Technology/Politics, Public/Private.....	58
<i>Between National and Global Security</i>	59
<i>Technology and/as Politics</i>	61
<i>The Public/Private Distinction and the Management of Visibilities</i>	63

Selecting Actors, Controversies, Interviews, and Documents	66
Conclusion	71
5 BETWEEN NATIONAL AND GLOBAL SECURITY:	
PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS ON CYBER SECURITY.....	73
Public-Private Partnerships on National Security.....	75
<i>The Concept of PPP: Shared Risks, Purpose and Loyalty.....</i>	<i>76</i>
Practicing Difference: Controversies in Danish Cyber-Security PPPs	78
<i>The Object of Cyber-Security Knowledge: Actors vs Vulnerabilities.....</i>	<i>79</i>
<i>The Scope of Cyber-Security: National vs Global</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Cyber-Security Expertise: Security Speech vs Technical Expertise.....</i>	<i>85</i>
Conclusion	87
6 TECHNOLOGY AND/AS POLITICS: THE CLOUDING OF GEOPOLITICS.....	91
Where Is the Cloud? The Spatio-Political Ambiguity of Cloud Computing.....	93
Enacting National Borders in the Cloud: Towards Cloud Sovereignty?	95
Clouds as Geopolitical Machines: Geopolitical Controversies and the Co-Production of Territories, Jurisdictions, and Publics	98
<i>Bringing the Cloud Down to Earth: The Co-Production of Territories</i>	<i>99</i>
<i>Spatio-Political Authority beyond Territory? The Co-Production of Jurisdictions.....</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Towards Citizen-Users: The Co-Production of Publics</i>	<i>103</i>
Conclusion	105
7 PUBLIC/PRIVATE TRANSFORMATIONS: CORPORATE TRANSPARENCY IN THE DIGITAL AGE.....	109
Transparency Reports as Contestation of State Surveillance.....	111
Controversies over Encryption Technologies and Spaces of Counter-Conduct	115
(Non-)Disclosing Cyber-Security Incidents.....	119
Conclusion	125
8 CONCLUSION	127
On the Value of Corporate Dissent.....	131
“Contexting” beyond the State?	133
BIBLIOGRAPHY	139
SUMMARY.....	163
DANSK RESUMÉ (DANISH SUMMARY)	164

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A PhD project is quite the journey. My exploration of the role of private companies in relation to cyber security over the past little more than three years has led me along uncertain paths with many surprising twists and turns; and at times the destination has seemed quite hazy in the distance. That I have indeed managed to arrive at a completed PhD dissertation, I owe, in no small part, to the many people that have helped me along the way. I would like to thank a few of them here.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Karen Lund Petersen for encouraging me to take on this topic and the challenge of doing a PhD in the first place. I am truly grateful for her support, incisive and constructive input, countless conversations, and collaboration on, among other things, the article on public-private partnerships, which serves as the basis of chapter 5 in this dissertation. I would also like to thank the Department of Political Science – and, not least, Head of the PhD School Christian Rostbøll – and the Nordic Centre of Excellence for Security Technologies and Societal Values (NordSTEVA) for making this project possible. Moreover, I would like to thank Professor Ronald Deibert and everyone at the Citizen Lab for hosting me for an inspiring few months in Toronto during the summer of 2016.

I would be remiss if I did not thank the interviewees from the research project on public-private partnerships on cyber-security for taking the time to talk to me and for their valuable contributions to this dissertation. Also, I would like to thank Oscar Vejen Lacoppidan for research assistance as part of that project, as well as Maja Felicia Falkentoft and Thomas Dunthorne-Bille for research assistance and for enduring my voice for hours on end in the process of transcribing the interviews.

At different steps in the process I have received valuable input and feedback from a number of people. First of all, I would like to thank Vibeke Schou Tjalve and J. Peters Burgess who took the time to read and provide very helpful feedback on the first draft of the entire dissertation. For their comments and input for different parts and iterations of this dissertation I am also grateful to, among others, Mikkel Flyverbom, Jens Hoff, Anna Leander, Rens van Munster, and everyone at CAST and in the IR group at the Department of Political Science, including Lene Hansen, Katja Lindskov Jacobsen, Peter Marcus Kristensen, Anders Wivel, and Ole Wæver. I would of course also like to thank the PhD group who, in addition to great feedback and collegial support, also helped to make sure that PhD life was not always too serious; in particular, my office mates and “next-door neighbours” during the three years: Alexei, Anne, Anne, Christine, Irina, Jessica, Kitt, Lasse, Niels, Rune, Simone, and Som. I